Vol. 7, No. 1; April 2023 E-ISSN 2579-7484 Pages 126-134 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.29408/veles.v7i1.7838



EFL Preservice Teachers' Intrinsic Motivation in Writing Essays in a Blended Learning Environment

*Tri Wintolo Apoko

Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka, Indonesia

*Correspondence: triwin_apoko@uhamka.ac.id

Submission History:

Submitted: January 18, 2023 Revised: March 24, 2023 Accepted: March 25, 2023



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Writing motivations are crucial because they have an impact on how well students write. In the context of EFL preservice teachers, they are urged to practice essay writing in both in-person and online settings. They can write better in these learning modes when they are very motivated to write. This study sought to investigate the intrinsic motivation of EFL preservice teachers to write essays in a blended learning environment. A survey with a quantitative description of opinions was the research approach used. 45 EFL preservice teachers from Universitas Muhammadiyah under Prof. Dr. Hamka were the participants. An online survey was used as the instrument to gather the information. The mean and standard deviation were then calculated using a descriptive analysis of the data. The findings demonstrated that needs, interest, curiosity, and enjoyment were among the intrinsic motivations of preservice EFL teachers that fell into the high group. To put it another way, they have good reasons for wanting to practice writing essays in a blended learning setting. Consequently, it was inferred that it should help retain the intrinsic motivation of EFL preservice teachers to write an essay in English for their better futures and careers.

Keywords: EFL preservice teachers, intrinsic motivation, writing, Essay, blended learning

INTRODUCTION

The ability to write effectively is one that all university students should have. Writing is the hardest skill to master compared to others (Farooq et al., 2020; Nunan, 1999; Rahman et al., 2020). Students studying English as a foreign language (EFL) should be able to express their ideas or thoughts in writing to other readers while also considering the organization of the writing flow and grammatical usage (Li & Huang, 2022). In writing, an essay is a set of paragraphs that describe, justify, or support a point of view (Zemach & Rumisek, 2010). However, EFL students find it difficult to put together an essay. As a result, some students struggle to write since they do not comprehend the fundamentals of essay writing (Warburton, 2020). While they are conscious of their writing knowledge, it does not

necessarily mean they can write more effectively. Learning motivation is one of the factors influencing the quality of the essay.

Motivation is the act of engaging in specific behaviors. There are two types of motivations: intrinsic and extrinsic (Brown, 2014; Howard et al., 2016). Intrinsic motivation is someone's desire to perform one activity in light of personal factors such as needs, interests, curiosity, and enjoyment (Howard et al., 2016; Marsh, 2010; Oxford & Bolanos-Sanchez, 2015; Richards & Renandya, 2002). In EFL instruction, some students practice writing in English as they think writing is necessary for their careers as English teachers. In addition, they would write in English since they intend to train their writing skills and improve their writing knowledge (Ling et al., 2021). Some students feel that writing some ideas in English is also very important for them in the preparation of writing an article or an essay as the fulfillment of completing their studies.

In the context of learning modes in the COVID-19 pandemic era, blended learning (BL) is an alternative to accommodate the teaching and learning activities of writing for students. This is not something new for lecturers to do (Setyowati et al., 2021). BL is a combination of face-to-face classroom and online learning activities to support the student's ability to learn independently, flexibly, and timely (Dewantara & Dibia, 2021; Marie, 2021; Rasheed et al., 2020). From this idea, EFL preservice teachers who would be teachers of English at schools would learn and practice writing essays in the classroom and through online instruction within synchronous and asynchronous learning forms. In line with this, they used G-Meets for discussions and joined a Moodle-based Learning Management System (LMS) for writing practices at their own pace and location, which is believed to have positive responses from EFL students in learning English (Apoko, 2022) as well as positive attitudes on the writing lesson and their awareness of increasing critical thinking (Tran & Nguyen, 2021).

There are some previous relevant studies on the motivations in essay writing in the situations of BL. Setyowati and Sukmawan (2021) investigated the effect of news items on essay writing in a BL environment. Another study found a relationship between motivation and students' performance in writing (Ling et al., 2021). This study is also supported by (Hashemian & Heidari, 2013), who found a relationship between motivation and writing skills. In addition, Bailey et al. (2021) discovered that there was a relationship between motivation for writing in collaboration with language learning technology. However, in line with this current research, there is still limited study on EFL preservice teachers' intrinsic motivations for writing essays in the BL environment. Therefore, it is essential to do a study to examine EFL preservice teachers' intrinsic motivations for writing essays in a BL environment. The research question addressed is, "How is the intrinsic motivation of EFL preservice teachers reflected in their writing essays in a BL environment?"

METHOD

The study used a survey as its method. It gave a quantitative description of the population's attitudes and beliefs and assisted the researcher in determining the proportions of EFL preservice teachers who were intrinsically motivated to write essays (Creswell, 2018). Participants in the study were EFL preservice teachers from Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka in Jakarta, Indonesia. 84.4% of the 45 participants were women, while 15.6% were men. The majority of the participants (77.2%) were fourth-

semester students. The majority of them (51.1%) resided in the Jakarta region. 11.1% were discovered to be residing away from Java Island. Reading proficiency (37.8%) was the most desired language ability from Table 1.

No	Categories		Participants (N: 45)	%
1.	Semester	4	35	77.8
		6	10	22.2
2.	Gender	Female	38	84.4
		Male	7	15.6
3.	Age	<20 years old	5	11.1
		20 years old	22	48.9
		>20 years old	18	40.0
4.	Home Location	Jakarta	23	51.1
		Banten	3	6.7
		West Java	9	20.0
		Central Java	2	4.4
		East Java	3	6.7
		Outside Java Islands	5	11.1
5.	Most preferred language skill	Listening	5	11.1
		Speaking	13	28.9
		Reading	17	37.8
		Writing	10	22.2

Table 1.	Demograph	ics of Partic	ipants

An online questionnaire survey was the tool employed in this study. There were 12 valid items out of the 18 total, and the reliability was 0.834. Hence, a total of 12 items which include four indicators: needs, interests, curiosity, and enjoyment were utilized to measure the intrinsic motivations of EFL preservice teachers. The instrument's specification after the tryout is as follows:

		Item N		
No	Indicators	Positive Items	Negative Items	Amount
1	Needs	1.2	3	3
2	Interests	4.5	6	3
3	Curiosity	7.8	9	3
4	Enjoyment	10	11.12	3
		Total		12

In table 2, there are positive and negative statements. For positive statements, the categories of responses started from strongly agreeing (5), agreeing (4), being neutral (3), disagreeing (2), and strongly disagree (1). Meanwhile, for negative statements, the

responses ranged from strongly agreeing (1), agreeing (2), being neutral (3), disagree (4), and strongly disagree (5).

In collecting the data, the researcher distributed the online questionnaire to the students taking the *Essay Writing* Course via WhatsApp Group. The students were given one day to complete the questionnaire. However, not all the students filled out the questionnaire. After the data were collected, they were analyzed with descriptive analysis to provide each item's mean and standard deviation. In addition to calculating mean and standard deviation, each question item was calculated to describe the percentages of EFL preservice teachers' on their intrinsic motivations in writing essays in English.

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

As it is presented in table 3, it was found that there are 84.5% said they agree or strongly agree with the necessity of EFL preservice teachers to write for their future careers. They also made very affirmative statements about acquiring more writing knowledge when they wrote (91.1%). Therefore, only 11.1% believed that writing was not necessary for their study.

No	Question Items	SD	D	Ν	Α	SA	Mean	STDEV
1	I need to write for my future career.	0.0	2.2	13.3	35.6	48.9	4.31	0.79
2	Writing makes me have more knowledge.	0.0	2.2	6.7	46.7	44.4	4.33	0.71
3	Writing is not necessary for my study.	4.2	31.1	15.6	4.4	6.7	2.02	1.18

Note: SD for strongly disagree, D for disagree, N for neutral, A for agree, and SA for strongly agree

Related to another intrinsic motivation, EFL preservice teachers' interest (see table 4), it was found that they were primarily interested in writing essays (77.8%). They also paid more attention to what their lecturers explained about how to write better essays (93.3%). Moreover, when they found writing challenging to practice, they did not stop writing (66.7%).

Table 4. EFL preservice teachers' interests in writing

No	Question Items	SD	D	Ν	Α	SA	Mean	STDEV
4	I am interested in writing an	0.0	2.2	20.0	60.0	17.8	3.93	0.69
5	essay. I pay attention to what my lecturer explains about how	0.0	0.0	6.7	48.9	44.4	4.38	0.61
6	to write. When I find writing difficult, I stop writing.	20.0	46.7	20.0	8.9	4.4	2.32	1.04

Dealing with EFL preservice teachers' curiosity (see table 5), it was discovered that they were curious to read some essays or articles before they wrote (57.8%). They were also

curious about taking an essay writing class online, either synchronously or asynchronously (53.3%). However, 33.3% of the EFL preservice teachers felt passive when they learned to write essays online.

No	Question Items	SD	D	Ν	Α	SA	Mean	STDEV
7	I am curious to read some essays or articles before writing.	0.0	4.4	37.8	46.7	11.1	3.64	0.74
8	I am curious about having a writing class online.	0.0	6.7	40.0	48.9	4.4	3.51	0.70
9	I feel passive when I learn to write in online learning.	0.0	33.3	42.2	20.0	4.4	2.96	0.85

Table 5. EFL preservice teachers' curiosity about writing

Table 6 below points out that EFL preservice teachers enjoyed practicing writing in English (84.4%). Few students did not like writing essays (8.8%). In addition, the result showed that a few of them were not bored with writing materials (13.3%).

No	Question Items	SD	D	Ν	Α	SA	Mean	STDEV
10	I enjoy writing English.	0.0	2.2	13.3	71. 1	13.3	3.96	0.60
11	Writing is not easy for me, so I do not like writing.	2.2	44.4	24.4	4.4	4.4	2.24	1.00
12	I am bored with writing materials.	26.7	31.1	28.9	8.9	4.4	2.33	1.11

Table 6. EFL preservice teachers' enjoyment of writing

This research examined EFL preservice teachers' intrinsic motivations for writing essays in a blended learning environment. Intrinsic motivations, including needs, interest, curiosity, and enjoyment, are believed to promote EFL preservice teachers' essay-writing skills. It is, therefore, obvious that intrinsic motivation is one of the influential factors in the psychological domain of writing performance. In the pandemic of COVID-19, intrinsic motivations are required of EFL preservice teachers to be eager to practice writing essays for their careers in the future.

The findings indicated that writing was crucial for the EFL preservice teachers' careers and studies since it increased their knowledge. It is consistent with what Yu et al. (2019) and Wang (2021), who both expected that motivation might impact EFL students' writing ability, discovered in their studies. In addition, it was revealed that their interests in writing essays were strong. It took place as a result of the materials they studied and practiced, including some fascinating and relevant subjects. Despite finding it difficult to write, they persisted and sought advice from their lecturers. They thought the writing was necessary and beneficial for them (Mahakaew, 2021).

This study also discovered that more EFL preservice teachers were curious to read relevant essays or articles before writing. Some available reading materials, such as articles from online media and journals were read to gain prior knowledge about the topic they would write about. In addition, the results also showed that the EFL preservice teachers' engagement and participation in writing assignments were also motivated when using technology such as online learning, which promoted social interaction (Williams & Beam, 2019). They also enjoyed practicing writing essays, both facilitated with online learning synchronously and asynchronously (Alberth, 2019; LaSalle, 2015) and conducted in the classroom. As a result, instruction with blended learning (BL) enhanced their writing competence (Lam et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020) as well as promoted students' autonomy and motivation (Albiladi & Alshareef, 2019) since BL provided time and place flexibility (Challob, 2021; Islam et al., 2018). Moreover, BL with flipped classrooms encouraged them to be more active in using idiomatic knowledge in class (Chen Hsieh et al., 2017).

Dealing with EFL preservice teachers' excitement or enjoyment in writing revealed that they tended to prefer writing essays despite the difficulties of writing, such as making errors in grammar use, spelling, and punctuation (Nasser, 2018; Sermsook et al., 2017) as well as vocabulary and the structure of the essay (Bulqiyah et al., 2021). As this study focused on one of the private universities in Indonesia, it could not represent all EFL preservice teachers. Therefore, it is much better if there are more participants from other universities to be respondents in further studies.

CONCLUSION

Writing motivations have a significant impact on how effectively students write; for example, in the context of EFL preservice teachers, they are encouraged to practice essay writing in both in-person and online environments. When individuals are very motivated to write, they can produce better outcomes. Therefore, based on the findings and discussion, the intrinsic motivations of EFL preservice teachers in writing essays in a blended learning environment were in the higher category. They have positive needs to write English for their future and careers. In addition, their interest in writing essays in English increased. They were also curious to practice writing essays in blended learning situations. Finally, they were really enjoyable to write. Thus, intrinsic motivations should be maintained for better English essay writing practice.

However, this current study has some limitations. This study only included a small number of participants and was conducted at a single university; more participants would have made the study more effective. In line with this conclusion, it is then recommended that teachers or lecturers design an English learning environment that could encourage aspiring EFL teachers to practice writing essays because intrinsic motivations have a positive impact on their motivation to do so in a blended learning environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks are addressed to the Research Institute at the University of Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka that fully funded this research. Appreciations are also conveyed to the fourth-semester students of the English Education Department, the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of the University of Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka for completing the questionnaire.

REFERENCES

Alberth. (2019). Use of Facebook, students' intrinsic motivation to study writing, writing

self-efficacy and writing performance. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 28*(1), 21–36. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939x.2018.1552892

- Albiladi, W. S., & Alshareef, K. K. (2019). Blended learning in English teaching and learning: A review of the current literature. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, *10*(2), 232–238.
- Apoko, T. W. (2022). The Students' Perceptions of Moodle-based LMS in English Learning. *Edumaspul:* Jurnal Pendidikan, 6(1), 163–168. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.33487/edumaspul.v6i1.3021
- Bailey, D., Almusharraf, N., & Hatcher, R. (2021). Finding satisfaction: Intrinsic motivation for synchronous and asynchronous communication in the online language learning context. *Education and Information Technologies*, *26*(3), 2563–2583.
- Brown, H. D. (2014). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching* (6th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Bulqiyah, S., Mahbub, M., & Nugraheni, D. A. (2021). Investigating Writing Difficulties in Essay Writing: Tertiary Students' Perspectives. *English Language Teaching Educational Journal*, 4(1), 61–73.
- Challob, A. I. (2021). The effect of flipped learning on EFL students' writing performance, autonomy, and motivation. *Education and Information Technologies*, *26*(4), 3743–3769. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10434-1
- Chen Hsieh, J. S., Wu, W.-C. V., & Marek, M. W. (2017). Using the flipped classroom to enhance EFL learning. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *30*(1–2), 1–21.
- Creswell, J. W. C. J. D. (2018). Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. In *Sage* (5th ed.). http://www.elsevier.com/locate/scp
- Dewantara, I. P. M., & Dibia, I. K. (2021). The principles of blended learning design with heutagogy approach thourgh e-ganesha moodle in Indonesian language learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1810(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1810/1/012048
- Farooq, M. S., Uzair-Ul-Hassan, M., & Wahid, S. (2020). Opinion of second language learners about writing difficulties in English language. *South Asian Studies*, *27*(1).
- Hashemian, M., & Heidari, A. (2013). The relationship between L2 learners' motivation/attitude and success in L2 writing. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *70*, 476–489. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.01.085
- Howard, J., Gagné, M., Morin, A. J. S., & Van den Broeck, A. (2016). Motivation profiles at work: A self-determination theory approach. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *95*, 74–89.
- Islam, S., Baharun, H., Muali, C., Ghufron, M. I., el Iq Bali, M., Wijaya, M., & Marzuki, I. (2018). To boost students' motivation and achievement through blended learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1114*(1), 12046. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012046
- Lam, Y. W., Hew, K. F., & Chiu, K. F. (2018). Improving argumentative writing: Effects of a blended learning approach and gamification. *Language Learning & Technology*, 22(1), 97–118. http://hdl.handle.net/10125/44583
- LaSalle, D. (2015). Intrinsic Motivation and the Five-Paragraph Essay: Lessons Learned on Practitioner Research, the Role of Academic Research in the Classroom, and Assessing Changes in Student Motivation. *Penn GSE Perspectives on Urban Education*, 12(1), n1.

- Li, J., & Huang, J. (2022). The impact of essay organization and overall quality on the holistic scoring of EFL writing: Perspectives from classroom english teachers and national writing raters. *Assessing Writing*, *51*, 100604. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2021.100604
- Ling, G., Elliot, N., Burstein, J. C., McCaffrey, D. F., MacArthur, C. A., & Holtzman, S. (2021). Writing motivation: A validation study of self-judgment and performance. *Assessing Writing*, 48, 100509. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2020.100509
- Mahakaew, V. (2021). A Study of Second-Year English Major Students' Interests, Strategies, Attitudes, and Grammatical Errors towards Their Writing Skills. *Proceedings of RSU International Research Conference*, 66–74.
- Marie, S. M. J. A. (2021). Improved pedagogical practices strengthens the performance of student teachers by a blended learning approach. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *4*(1), 100199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100199
- Marsh, C. (2010). *Becoming a teacher: knowledge, skills and issues* (5th ed.). Pearson.
- Nasser, S. M. (2018). Iraqi EFL students' difficulties in writing composition: An experimental study (University of Baghdad). *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 9(1), 178–184.
- Nunan, D. (1999). *Second Language Teaching and Learning* (1st ed.). Heinle.
- Oxford, R. L., & Bolanos-Sanchez, D. (2015). A Tale of Two Learners: Discovering Mentoring, Motivation, Emotions, Engagement, and Preserverance. In C. Gkonou, D. Tatzl, & S. Mercer (Eds.), *New Directions in Language Learning Psychology* (p. 259). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-23491-5
- Rahman, A. M. A., Azmi, M. N. L., & Hassan, I. (2020). Improvement of English Writing Skills through Blended Learning among University Students in Malaysia. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, *8*(12A), 7694–7701. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082556
- Rasheed, R. A., Kamsin, A., & Abdullah, N. A. (2020). Challenges in the online component of blended learning: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, *144*, 103701.
- Richards, J. C., & Renandya, W. A. (2002). *Methodology in language teaching: An anthology of current practice* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Sermsook, K., Liamnimit, J., & Pochakorn, R. (2017). An Analysis of Errors in Written English Sentences: A Case Study of Thai EFL Students. *English Language Teaching*, *10*(3), 101– 110.
- Setyowati, L., & Sukmawan, S. (2021). News as authentic materials to improve Essay writing in a hybrid learning setting. *Studies in English Language and Education*, *8*(3), 1194–1208. https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v8i3.19851
- Setyowati, L., Sukmawan, S., & El-Sulukkiyah, A. A. (2021). Learning from home during pandemic: A blended learning for reading to write activity in EFL setting. *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)*, 6(1), 9–17.
- Tran, T. M. L., & Nguyen, T. T. H. (2021). The Impacts of Technology-based Communication on EFL Students' Writing. *AsiaCALL Online Journal*, *12*(5), 54–76.
- Wang, H. (2021). Exploring the relationships of achievement motivation and state anxiety to creative writing performance in English as a foreign language. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *42*, 100948. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2021.100948

Warburton, N. (2020). *The basics of essay writing*. Routledge.

- Williams, C., & Beam, S. (2019). Technology and writing: Review of research. *Computers & Education*, *128*, 227–242.
- Wu, W.-C. V., Yang, J. C., Scott Chen Hsieh, J., & Yamamoto, T. (2020). Free from demotivation in EFL writing: The use of online flipped writing instruction. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 33(4), 353–387. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2019.1567556
- Yu, S., Zhou, N., Zheng, Y., Zhang, L., Cao, H., & Li, X. (2019). Evaluating student motivation and engagement in the Chinese EFL writing context. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 62, 129–141. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2019.06.002
- Zemach, D. E., & Rumisek, L. (2010). Academic Writing: From paragraph to Essay. Macmillan.