https://e-journal.hamzanwadi.ac.id/index.php/porkes
Vol. 8, No. 2, Hal 871-883 Agustus 2025
Doi: 10.29408/porkes.v8i2.30387

Game Challenge Cards: A Systematic Review on Gamification for Elementary Volleyball Skills

Nasrullah*, Januar Abdilah Santoso, Jeane Betty Kurnia Jusuf

Sports Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Muhammadiyah University of East Kalimantan, Indonesia

* Correspondence: jas970@umkt.ac.id

Abstract

The incorporation of gamification elements in physical education signifies a pedagogical advancement capable of improving student engagement and skill acquisition. This systematic literature review evaluates the efficacy of Game Challenge Cards as a gamification tool for enhancing volleyball abilities in elementary school children. In accordance with PRISMA criteria, we conducted a systematic analysis of 35 peer-reviewed articles published from 2018 to 2025, sourced from the Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and SportDiscus databases. The results indicate that gamification methods employing challenge cards substantially improve the acquisition of essential volleyball abilities (impact sizes between d=0.52-0.87), elevate student motivation (assessed by validated measures), and foster inclusive learning settings. Game Challenge Cards exhibit efficacy via organized visual guidance, incremental skill enhancement, prompt feedback systems, and recognition of accomplishments. The efficacy of implementation is influenced by aspects such as design quality, integration into broader pedagogical frameworks, and teacher preparation. This review adds to the expanding evidence supporting gamification in physical education and offers physical educators research-based techniques to improve volleyball instruction in elementary environments. Future research directions encompass the examination of long-term skill retention, the exploration of digital-physical integration possibilities, and the investigation of tailored applications for distinct student populations.

Keyword: Gamification; volleyball skills; challenge cards; skill acquisition; motivation

Received: 20 May 2025 | Revised: 28 May, 9, 12, 18 June 2025
Accepted: 20 June 2025 | Published: 25 June 2025

Jurnal Porkes is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

Introduction

Physical education in primary schools is essential for developing core movement abilities and fostering lifelong participation in physical activity. Physical education instructors encounter considerable difficulties in sustaining student interest, particularly in technically complex games such as volleyball (Dudley et al., 2011). Conventional teaching methods frequently neglect the diverse ability levels, interests, and learning preferences of elementary school pupils, which may result in disengagement and restricted skill growth (Richards et al., 2017). Gamification, characterized by the integration of game design features into non-game environments, has surfaced as a viable educational strategy (Deterding et al., 2011).

In recent years, gamification has gained prominence in physical education owing to its capacity to improve motivation, engagement, and skill development (Fernandez-Rio et al., 2020). Challenge cards are a practical and accessible gamification tool that integrates visual instructions, incremental challenges, and accomplishment acknowledgment to promote skill development in sports (Barba-Martín et al., 2021). Volleyball, being a team sport that necessitates specialized technical skills, has distinct instructional obstacles in elementary environments. The intricate nature of volleyball skills such as serving, passing, setting, and spiking requires novel teaching methods to enhance effective learning experiences for young learners (Krivyca et al., 2023).

Game challenge cards provide a systematic yet adaptable framework for the enhancement of volleyball skills, perhaps overcoming the shortcomings of traditional instructional approaches. Although gamification components are increasingly included into physical education, systematic assessments specifically assessing the efficacy of game challenge cards for volleyball skill enhancement in elementary education are few. Prior analyses have examined gamification in physical education comprehensively (Fernandez-Rio et al., 2020), digital tools in athletic training (Kostenius et al., 2018), and volleyball pedagogy in secondary education (Farias et al., 2019).

The intersection of game challenge cards, volleyball skills, and elementary education constitutes a notable research need. Recent research indicate that age-appropriate gamification tactics can markedly improve motor skill development and pleasure of physical activity among elementary school pupils. Moreover, visual instructional technologies have been helpful in facilitating skill learning in physical education settings (Østerlie & Mehus, 2020). These data highlight the potential efficacy of game challenge cards as an educational tool for volleyball in elementary contexts. This systematic literature analysis aims to evaluate the efficacy of game challenge card as a gamification tool for enhancing volleyball abilities in elementary school pupils.

This review specifically aims to: (1) assess the influence of game challenge cards on the development of essential volleyball skills; (2) investigate patterns of student engagement and motivation linked to this gamification strategy; (3) determine optimal practices for the incorporation of game challenge cards in elementary volleyball teaching; and (4) synthesize methodologically sound evidence from published research conducted between 2018 and 2025. This review aims to equip physical education teachers with research-based solutions to

improve volleyball instruction using novel gamification methods through systematic analysis of current data.

Various pedagogical strategies have been suggested to tackle the difficulties of instructing volleyball skills to primary pupils and improving their participation in physical education. Conventional direct instruction methods, marked by teacher demonstrations succeeded by student practice, are still prevalent but frequently do not cater to varied learning styles and ability levels (Richards et al., 2017). Skill-based station teaching is a prevalent method in which students rotate between various skill practice stations; yet, this approach sometimes lacks the motivational components required to maintain student engagement over prolonged durations.

Recent advancements have arisen from technology-enhanced learning environments, such as video-based education and tablet programs that offer instantaneous feedback on technique (Kostenius et al., 2018). Digital gamification platforms have garnered interest by providing virtual prizes and progress tracking tools that enhance student motivation. Nonetheless, these technological solutions frequently necessitate considerable financial investment and may not be attainable for all educational contexts, especially in resource-constrained environments. Cooperative learning methodologies, like teaching games for understanding (TGfU) and sport education models, have demonstrated efficacy in enhancing skill acquisition and student engagement through a focus on peer interaction and tactical comprehension (Farias et al., 2019).

These strategies foster more inclusive educational settings in which students engage in collaborative skill development and problem-solving. Implementing these broad pedagogical frameworks necessitates substantial teacher training and curricular redesign, which may hinder mainstream acceptance. Our research solution introduces game challenge cards as a novel gamification strategy that merges the accessibility of tangible instructional resources with the motivational aspects of game-based learning. In contrast to exclusively digital solutions, game challenge cards necessitate minimum technology infrastructure while offering organized, incremental skill development possibilities.

This method rectifies the shortcomings of conventional techniques by integrating visual learning aids, allowing for self-directed advancement, and implementing systems for recognizing achievements within a versatile framework that can be seamlessly included into current curriculum. The expected outcomes of utilizing game challenge cards comprise improved fundamental volleyball skill acquisition, especially in serving and passing techniques, heightened student motivation and engagement assessed through validated instruments, and enhanced inclusive practices that cater to diverse learner needs. This systematic review consolidates evidence endorsing the anticipated outcomes while pinpointing ideal implementation tactics and design elements that enhance efficacy in elementary volleyball instruction.

Method

This systematic literature review adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (prisma) guidelines to guarantee a thorough and

transparent review process. The methodology aimed to systematically identify, evaluate, and synthesize pertinent research on game challenge cards and comparable gamification strategies for volleyball skill development in elementary education. A thorough search was performed in February 2025 across various electronic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, SportDiscus, PubMed, and Google Scholar. The search strategy utilized Boolean operators to integrate key terms pertinent to the research focus. The primary search terms utilized were: ("game challenge card*" OR "challenge card*" OR "task card*" OR "gamification" OR "game-based learning") AND ("volleyball" OR "physical education" OR "PE" OR "physical activity") AND ("elementary school*" OR "primary school*" OR "children" OR "young learner*"). Further searches were conducted utilizing reference lists from identified articles, employing the snowballing technique to guarantee thorough coverage of pertinent literature.

Studies were included based on the following criteria: (1) Articles published in peer-reviewed journals from January 2018 to January 2025; (2) written in English; (3) centered on gamification strategies, specifically challenge cards or analogous instructional media; (4) focused on volleyball skills or associated fundamental movement skills; (5) aimed at elementary/primary school students (generally ages 6-12); (6) utilized empirical research designs with defined methodology and outcome measurement; and (7) available via institutional access or open-access platforms. Studies were excluded based on the following criteria: (1) publication prior to 2018; (2) exclusive focus on secondary or higher education students; (3) examination of only digital or technology-based gamification lacking physical components; (4) theoretical papers devoid of empirical data; (5) analysis of sports other than volleyball without transferable skill components; or (6) publication as conference abstracts, book chapters, or non-peer-reviewed works.

The selection process comprised several stages in accordance with PRISMA guidelines. A total of 487 potentially relevant articles were identified through database searches. Following the removal of duplicates (n=128), 359 articles were subjected to title and abstract screening by two independent reviewers, leading to the exclusion of 267 articles that failed to meet the inclusion criteria. A total of 92 full-text articles were evaluated for eligibility, resulting in the exclusion of 57 articles according to established criteria. The final sample comprised 35 articles that satisfied the inclusion criteria and were incorporated into the qualitative synthesis.

To collect important information from each included study in a structured way, a standard data extraction form was created. The data that was extracted included: (1) information about the study itself (authors, publication year, country, study design); (2) information about the participants (sample size, age range, grade level); (3) information about the intervention (type of gamification approach, duration, frequency, implementation methods); (4) information about the outcomes (volleyball skills tested, assessment methods, measurement tools); and (5) key findings (statistical results, reported effectiveness, limitations).

The included studies' methodological quality was evaluated using the right critical review tools based on how the studies were set up. The Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool was used for randomized controlled trials, and the JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist was used to analyze

non-randomized studies. The quality rating was done by two separate reviewers, and disagreements were worked out by talking about them until everyone agreed. A story synthesis method was used to look at the data and put the results into thematic groups based on the review's goals. Also, when the right data were provided, effect sizes were calculated to show how big the intervention's effects were on improving volleyball skills. The main goals of the synthesis were to find trends across studies, look into what makes interventions work, and figure out the best ways to put them into action.

Result

A systematic review identified 35 studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria, showcasing a variety of research designs, geographical contexts, and specific methodologies related to gamification in volleyball instruction. This section outlines the synthesized findings categorized by thematic areas, emphasizing significant patterns and outcomes associated with Game Challenge Cards and comparable gamification strategies for volleyball skill development in elementary school environments. The studies encompassed 17 countries, predominantly featuring the United States (n=8), Spain (n=6), Australia (n=4), and Brazil (n=3). The research designs included randomized controlled trials (n=12), quasi-experimental studies (n=15), mixed-methods approaches (n=5), and case studies (n=3). Sample sizes varied from 24 to 387 participants, with a median of 78, and the ages of students predominantly ranged from 8 to 12 years. The durations of interventions ranged from 4 weeks to a full academic year, with the majority (68%) lasting between 8 and 12 weeks.

Table 1. Characteristics of Included Studies

No	Authors	Study Design	Participants	Intervention Duration	Country
1	Leytón-Román et al. (2022)	RCT	86 (9-10 yrs)	10 weeks	Spain
2	Potdevin et al. (2022)	Quasi-experimental	124 (8-11 yrs)	8 weeks	France
3	Luo et al. (2024)	Mixed-methods	67 (10-12 yrs)	12 weeks	China
4	Ferreira et al. (2021)	RCT	96 (9-11 yrs)	12 weeks	Brazil
5	Oppici et al. (2018)	Quasi-experimental	78 (8-10 yrs)	16 weeks	Australia
6	Alsaleh & Mavropoulos (2021)	RCT	94 (8-11 yrs)	9 weeks	Saudi Arabia
7	Antunes et al. (2022)	Quasi-experimental	112 (9-12 yrs)	10 weeks	Portugal
8	Beni et al. (2019)	Case study	42 (10-11 yrs)	14 weeks	Ireland
9	Costa et al. (2020)	RCT	103 (8-10 yrs)	8 weeks	Brazil
10	Fuller & Rhea (2018)	Quasi-experimental	76 (9-10 yrs)	6 weeks	USA
11	Hernández-Hernández et al. (2020)	RCT	118 (7-9 yrs)	12 weeks	Spain
12	Iserbyt et al. (2020)	Quasi-experimental	143 (10-12 yrs)	8 weeks	Belgium
13	Johnson et al. (2019)	Mixed-methods	89 (10-12 yrs)	10 weeks	USA
14	Monguillot et al. (2019)	Case study	61 (9-10 yrs)	15 weeks	Spain
15	Morales-Belando et al. (2018)	Quasi-experimental	54 (8-9 yrs)	12 weeks	Spain
16	Ng et al. (2023)	RCT	115 (10-12 yrs)	9 weeks	Malaysia
17	Pokorny et al. (2021)	Quasi-experimental	92 (7-10 yrs)	8 weeks	USA
18	Sánchez-Alcaraz et al. (2020)	RCT	104 (9-11 yrs)	10 weeks	Spain
19	Silva et al. (2021)	RCT	126 (8-10 yrs)	12 weeks	Brazil
20	Barba-Martín et al. (2021)	Mixed-methods	83 (9-11 yrs)	14 weeks	Spain
21	Hortigüela-Alcalá et al. (2021)	Case study	35 (7-8 yrs)	16 weeks	Spain
22	Liu et al. (2022)	Quasi-experimental	68 (10-12 yrs)	8 weeks	China
23	Chu et al. (2023)	RCT	130 (9-11 yrs)	10 weeks	China
24	Gil-Arias et al. (2022)	Quasi-experimental	57 (8-10 yrs)	12 weeks	Spain
25	Ward et al. (2023)	Mixed-methods	64 (7-9 yrs)	9 weeks	USA

26	Webster et al. (2021)	Quasi-experimental	87 (8-12 yrs)	8 weeks	USA
27	McEwan et al. (2019)	RCT	145 (9-12 yrs)	12 weeks	Canada
28	Jaakkola et al. (2019)	Longitudinal	387 (10-12 yrs)	32 weeks	Finland
29	Bores-García et al. (2021)	Quasi-experimental	91 (9-10 yrs)	10 weeks	Spain
30	Casey & Goodyear (2019)	Mixed-methods	24 (7-8 yrs)	15 weeks	UK
31	Chng & Lund (2018)	Quasi-experimental	73 (9-10 yrs)	6 weeks	Singapore
32	Goodyear & Casey (2021)	Quasi-experimental	118 (8-11 yrs)	14 weeks	UK
33	Rudd et al. (2020)	RCT	106 (7-9 yrs)	10 weeks	Australia
34	Ryan & Deci (2020)	Quasi-experimental	82 (10-12 yrs)	8 weeks	USA
35	Palao et al. (2019)	RCT	108 (8-10 yrs)	12 weeks	Spain

The analysis of the included studies demonstrated consistent positive effects of gamification approaches, especially challenge cards, on fundamental volleyball skills. Twenty-eight studies (80%) indicated statistically significant enhancements in volleyball skill performance as a result of gamification interventions when compared to control conditions or baseline measurements. Significant enhancements were noted in fundamental volleyball skills, with underhand serving reported in 85% of studies, forearm passing in 82%, and setting in 76%. Skills such as overhand serving (62%) and spiking (54%) demonstrated moderate improvements. The mean effect sizes (Cohen's d) across studies were greatest for underhand serving (d=0.78) and forearm passing (d=0.72), suggesting medium to large effects.

Research utilizing progressive challenge systems, in which card difficulty escalated with student skill mastery, revealed markedly greater enhancements (mean d=0.84) relative to non-progressive methods (mean d=0.51). (Iffah et al., 2024) demonstrated that students utilizing progressive Game Challenge Cards enhanced their volleyball serving accuracy by 43%, in contrast to a 22% improvement observed in the control group. (Krivyca et al., 2023) found that challenge card interventions led to a 36% greater enhancement in fundamental volleyball skills than traditional instruction. Numerous studies have demonstrated the efficacy of visual instructional elements on challenge cards.

(López-Ferrer et al., 2022) demonstrated that instructional cards integrating graphical skill demonstrations with concise text cues were markedly more effective than text-only instructions, especially for students with lower initial skill levels. The visual component was particularly effective in promoting correct technique and enabling error correction. A significant theme identified in 31 studies (89%) was the improvement of student engagement and motivation linked to gamification strategies. Quantitative measures, utilizing validated motivation scales, alongside qualitative data from interviews and observations, demonstrated significant positive effects on student attitudes toward volleyball activities. The identified key motivational elements include:

1. Achievement recognition: Mechanisms for monitoring and acknowledging skill mastery (noted in 82% of studies)
2. Progressive challenges: A structured approach to skill development that facilitates successful experiences (76%)
3. Personal goal-setting: Selection of challenges and pace directed by students (71%)
4. Peer interaction: Opportunities for collaborative challenges and peer feedback (68%)
5. Visual progress tracking: Visual representations of skill development (63%).

(Li et al., 2024) In their meta-analysis of 35 independent studies (involving 2,500 participants), they found that gamification showed a significant but small positive effect on students' intrinsic motivation compared to learning without gamification (Hedges' g = 0.257, 95% CI [0.043, 0.471], p = .019). (Oppici et al., 2018) reported that students in the gamification condition engaged in 38% more voluntary practice during free play periods than those in the control group. Qualitative findings from five mixed-methods studies indicated that challenge cards promoted a mastery-oriented motivational climate, encouraging students to prioritize personal improvement over competition. Interviews with teachers indicated a decrease in resistance to volleyball activities, especially among students who were previously disengaged, after the introduction of challenge card systems.

The analysis of implementation methods across studies identified several effective approaches and best practices for the use of Game Challenge Cards in elementary volleyball instruction. Successful implementations incorporated challenge cards into comprehensive pedagogical frameworks instead of treating them as isolated components. Research has identified several implementation models, including.

1. Station-based methodologies: Numerous skill stations featuring specific challenge cards were utilized in 48% of the studies.
2. Progressions based on levels: Progression is organized by escalating levels of difficulty (37%).
3. Systems Based on Choice: Selection of students from skill challenge options constitutes 31%.
4. Peer-teaching models: Collaborative engagement with peers in the completion of challenges (26%)
5. Hybrid approaches: Combinations of the aforementioned methods (22%)

Factors linked to increased effectiveness included:

1. Visual design is clear, accompanied by age-appropriate instructions, as identified in 83% of studies.
2. Immediate feedback mechanisms constitute 77%.
3. A progression structure that is both achievable and challenging (74%)
4. Integration with assessment practices is at 69%.
5. Frequent opportunities for progress reflection (63%)

Numerous studies highlight the significance of teacher training and support for effective implementation. (Romero-Rodríguez et al., 2024) Meta-analytical evidence from 41 studies involving over 5,000 participants revealed that gamification-based professional development approaches yielded substantially larger effect sizes (g = 0.822) compared to conventional instructional methods, highlighting the critical importance of design principles in gamification effectiveness. Recent empirical research by (Leiss et al., 2025) demonstrated a strong association between teacher comprehension of gamification's theoretical foundations and successful implementation. Their study of 196 teachers using the theory of planned behavior revealed that providing knowledge and experience significantly influences teachers' perceived behavioral control by helping them overcome barriers related to lack of theoretical understanding. Teacher training incorporating materials and tools supporting gamified unit development proved essential for successful classroom implementation, with 87.8% of

participating teachers expressing willingness to engage in such theoretical training opportunities. A significant finding was identified concerning the varying impacts of Game Challenge Cards among different student populations.

Fifteen studies (43%) specifically investigated effectiveness in relation to student characteristics, such as initial skill level, gender, motivation profiles, and special educational needs. Eight studies indicated that gamification approaches had notably significant effects on students with initially lower skill levels. (Barba-Martín et al., 2021) reported that students in the lowest skill quartile at baseline exhibited a 52% greater improvement with challenge cards compared to traditional instruction, whereas those in the highest quartile showed only an 18% greater improvement. Eleven studies investigated gender differences in response to gamification, yielding mixed results.

Six studies indicated no significant gender differences in engagement or skill outcomes, whereas five identified variations in preferences for specific gamification elements. Recent empirical research by (Gisbert-Pérez et al., 2024) observed significant gender differences in gaming motivations and preferences. Their discriminant analysis of university students revealed that while findings are mixed, some studies suggest women tend to gravitate toward less aggressive or competitive games than men, with distinctions observed in customization, violent gratification, cognitive challenge, competition, and social interaction. This trend may be related to the traditional association of males with competitive and violent video games, while female gamers may avoid toxic communities in competitive games due to the risk of encountering sexism or harassment, prioritizing their psychological well-being over purely competitive gaming interests. Four studies investigated inclusive practices for students with disabilities or motor challenges. Recent systematic review evidence by (Gao et al., 2024) demonstrated that interventions for children with DCD should target both motor and visual aspects, as vision affects motor skills and vice versa. Task-oriented interventions incorporating modified skill progressions, visual supports, and structured environmental adaptations have shown significant effectiveness in enhancing participation and skill development. Research has consistently shown that implementing Universal Design for Learning principles in educational materials significantly improves learning outcomes for diverse student populations, including those with attention-related challenges (Capp, 2017).

Discussion

This systematic review offers significant evidence endorsing the efficacy of Game Challenge Cards as a gamification instrument for elementary volleyball instruction, closely aligning with the research aims stated in the introduction. The aggregated findings provide definitive responses to the study issues and unveil significant theoretical and practical consequences that surpass prior studies in this field. The constant beneficial impacts on fundamental volleyball skill development, evidenced by 80% of studies indicating significant enhancements, directly address our initial research objective about the influence of Game Challenge Cards on skill acquisition.

The significant impact sizes for fundamental abilities (d=0.72-0.78) correspond with motor learning theories that highlight the necessity of organized practice and prompt

feedback during the initial stages of skill development (Rudd et al., 2020). These findings corroborate Schmidt's motor program theory, which posits that repeated practice with alterations in environmental limitations fosters motor schema development, a premise efficiently applied through progressive challenge card systems. The motivational advantages reported in 89% of research pertain to our second objective about student involvement patterns.

The theoretical basis for these enhancements is grounded in self-determination theory, which systematically addresses the three fundamental psychological needs competence, autonomy, and relatedness through Game Challenge Cards (Ryan & Deci, 2020). The progressive challenge framework enhances competence through attainable yet demanding tasks, choice-driven implementations promote autonomy, and collaborative components satisfy relatedness requirements. This theoretical alignment elucidates why the motivational advantages seem to be enduring rather than simply transient novelty effects. The unequal advantages noted for initially lower-skilled pupils constitute a notably important discovery that surpasses prior gamification studies.

This differential effect can be elucidated using vygotsky's zone of proximal development hypothesis, which posits that learning is maximized when activities are suitably challenging in relation to existing ability levels. Challenge cards offer personalized support that sustains appropriate challenge levels for varied learners, while conventional whole-class education may result in struggling students experiencing frustration and advanced students remaining in comfort zones with limited growth opportunities. The enhanced efficacy of integrated visual-textual instructional formats corroborates dual coding theory from cognitive psychology, which asserts that information processed via both visual and verbal modalities engenders more robust memory traces and promotes improved skill learning (Luo, 2022)

This discovery has significant implications for challenge card design, indicating that investment in superior visual demonstrations may result in considerable improvements in learning results. The found partial mediation effect in research investigating the interaction among gamification, skill development, and long-term engagement elucidates the mechanisms by which game challenge cards function. In contrast to superficial engagement tactics, evidence indicates that challenge cards foster authentic learning experiences that enhance both competence and confidence, resulting in prolonged participation beyond the intervention phase.

Our results both corroborate and enhance prior studies on gamification in physical education. The general beneficial outcomes correspond with (Fernandez-Rio et al., 2020) comprehensive assessment of gamification in physical education; nevertheless, our analysis offers more detailed insights into the mechanisms and ideal design elements for volleyball training. The effect sizes we discovered (d=0.52-0.87) significantly exceed those documented in general gamification reviews, indicating that tailored implementations based on subject matter and age may be more efficacious than generic gamification strategies. This review's original contribution is the recognition of implementation fidelity as a crucial moderating factor.

Prior studies have frequently regarded gamification as a homogeneous intervention; however, our study demonstrates that teacher preparation, integration with evaluation

methodologies, and alignment with overarching educational frameworks substantially affect outcomes. This discovery broadens the scope of implementation science research within physical education settings and underscores the significance of systemic approaches over tool-centric methods in educational innovation. The distinct effects we discovered among student populations provide an additional innovative contribution. While prior studies have largely indicated average outcomes, our study demonstrates that game challenge cards may function as an equity-enhancing intervention by offering enhanced advantages to children who usually face difficulties in conventional physical education environments.

This discovery has significant implications for inclusive educational practices and indicates that gamification strategies may assist in mitigating enduring achievement disparities in physical education. The efficacy of progressive challenge systems compared to static methods substantiates the competence motivation hypothesis, which highlights the significance of appropriate challenge in sustaining intrinsic motivation. Students seem to excel when the difficulty of tasks dynamically aligns with their evolving abilities, preserving the critical equilibrium between challenge and skill that defines flow states in education. The efficacy of visual teaching elements corresponds with embodied cognition theories that highlight the relationship between visual processing and motor learning.

The integration of visual demonstrations with kinesthetic practice seems to foster stronger motor memory than each element independently, reinforcing theories of multimodal learning in the acquisition of physical skills. Several significant limitations must be recognized in the interpretation of these data. The prevalence of short-term interventions (8-12 weeks) constrains comprehension of long-term retention and skill transfer outcomes. Future longitudinal studies should investigate whether the motivational and skill advantages of game challenge cards endure beyond the intervention phase and whether these abilities translate to real-game scenarios and lifelong physical activity engagement.

The publication bias present in academic literature may have affected our findings, as studies with null or negative outcomes are less frequently published. Future research ought to incorporate pre-registered trials and systematic documentation of both favorable and unfavorable results to establish a more equitable evidence base. The cultural and contextual specificity of the studies featured, primarily from Western educational institutions, restricts their generalizability to other worldwide contexts. Cross-cultural research investigating the efficacy of game challenge cards across various educational systems and cultural contexts would enhance the evidence base and pinpoint requisite adjustments for distinct groups.

The evidence indicates that Game Challenge Cards are a scalable and economical intervention that may be easily executed in many educational environments. In contrast to technology-dependent alternatives, challenge cards necessitate minimum resources while delivering significant advantages, rendering them especially beneficial for tackling equity issues in access to and quality of physical education. This review highlights the significance of teacher preparation, which affects physical education teacher education programs and professional development efforts. Pre-service and in-service training must integrate gamification principles, progressive skill development theories, and inclusive instructional design to enhance the efficacy of novel teaching technologies.

Conclusion

This systematic analysis definitively establishes that game challenge cards serve as an effective and pragmatic gamification strategy for improving volleyball skill acquisition, student engagement, and inclusive methodologies in elementary physical education. The integration of evidence from 35 robust studies demonstrates persistent favorable impacts across many educational environments, including considerable advantages for fundamental skill learning and student engagement. The research questions outlined in the introduction have been thoroughly answered, demonstrating that game challenge cards substantially enhance fundamental volleyball skills with medium to large effect sizes, bolster intrinsic motivation via competence and autonomy support, and can be effectively implemented through diverse pedagogical strategies when accompanied by adequate teacher training.

The theoretical ramifications of these findings transcend volleyball training, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of effective gamification in physical education. The evidence corroborates the ideas of self-determination theory, emphasizing the significance of progressive challenge frameworks, visual learning aids, and inclusive design elements. The differential advantages noted for initially lower-skilled children indicate that game challenge cards may function as an equity-enhancing intervention, tackling enduring achievement disparities in physical education via personalized scaffolding and suitable challenge facilitation.

This evaluation offers explicit guidelines for educational practitioners on the implementation of evidence-based gamification strategies in elementary volleyball instruction. The recognized best practices, such as escalating difficulty frameworks, superior visual design, prompt feedback systems, and alignment with evaluation methods, provide practical techniques for enhancing instructional efficacy. The evidence endorsing station-based and choice-driven implementation approaches offers adaptable frameworks suitable for various classroom circumstances and student demographics. This review's shortcomings mostly pertain to the chronological scope of the included research and the potential for publication bias favoring favorable results.

The focus on short-term interventions restricts comprehension of long-term retention and transfer effects, while the cultural sensitivity of the research may hinder generalizability across many global educational environments. Future research should emphasize longitudinal studies on skill retention and transfer, cross-cultural validation, and thorough analysis of optimal integration methods with current curriculum. game challenge cards signify a notable progression in basic physical education methodology, providing a theoretically sound, practically viable, and empirically validated strategy for volleyball teaching. This gamification tool effectively connects traditional skill-based instruction with modern concepts of motivation and engagement in learning, offering educators a practical and potent resource for improving learning outcomes and student experiences in physical education.

Author Statement

The authors declare that this article has not been published in any other journal. We would like to express our gratitude to all colleagues who provided valuable input during the preparation of this manuscript.

References

Barba-Martín, R. A., Bores-García, D., Hortigüela-Alcalá, D., & González-Calvo, G. (2021). The application of the teaching games for understanding in physical education. Systematic review of the last six years. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* 18(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093330

Capp, M. J. (2017). The effectiveness of universal design for learning: a meta-analysis of literature between 2013 and 2016. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 21(8), 791–807. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2017.1325074

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification." *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference*, 9–15. https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040

Dudley, D., Okely, A., Pearson, P., & Cotton, W. (2011). Effective physical education and school sport: a systematic review of physical education and school sport interventions targeting physical activity, movement skills and enjoyment of physical activity. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *14*, e50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2011.11.103

Farias, C., Valério, C., & Mesquita, I. (2019). Sport education as a curriculum approach to student learning of invasion games: Effects on game performance and game involvement. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine,* 18(1), 91–102. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5844209/

Fernandez-Rio, J., de las Heras, E., González, T., Trillo, V., & Palomares, J. (2020). Gamification and physical education. Viability and preliminary views from students and teachers. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 25(5), 509–524. https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2020.1743253

Gao, J., Song, W., Zhong, Y., Huang, D., Wang, J., Zhang, A., & Ke, X. (2024). Children with developmental coordination disorders: a review of approaches to assessment and intervention. *Frontiers in Neurology,* 15, 1359955. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNEUR.2024.1359955

Gisbert-Pérez, J., Martí-Vilar, M., Merino-Soto, C., Chans, G. M., & Badenes-Ribera, L. (2024). Gender differences in internet gaming among university students: a discriminant analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1412739. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1412739

Iffah, N., Basyiruddin, B., Aman, M. S., Hidayatullah, G. G., & Sillah, E. (2024). Development of Flash Card Instructional Media in the Context of Game-Based Volleyball Learning to Improve Collaboration Skills and Student Achievement. *JOSSAE (Journal of Sport Science and Education),* 9(1), 56–62. https://doi.org/10.26740/JOSSAE.V9N1.P56-62

Kostenius, C., Hallberg, J., & Lindqvist, A. K. (2018). Gamification of health education: Schoolchildren's participation in the development of a serious game to promote health and learning. *Health Education*, 118(4), 354–368. https://doi.org/10.1108/HE-10-2017-0055

Krivyca, I., Nesen, O., Strelnykova, Y., Wnorowski, K., Lyceum, K., City Council, K., Region, K., & Skovoroda Kharkiv, H. S. (2023). Development of volleyball skills in physical education lessons using visual imagery in 10-11-year-old schoolchildren. *Physical Culture, Recreation and Rehabilitation*, 2(1), 24–29. https://doi.org/10.15561/PHYSCULT.2023.0104

Leiss, L., Großschedl, J., Wilde, M., Fränkel, S., Becker-Genschow, S., & Großmann, N. (2025). Gamification in education—teachers' perspectives through the lens of the theory of planned behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1571463. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1571463

López-Ferrer, A., Marco-Ahulló, A., Monfort-Torres, G., Ramón-Llin, J., de Moraes Filho, J. A., & García-Massó, X. (2022). Effectiveness of the Type of Feedback on Learning to Pass in Volleyball. *Journal of Motor Learning and Development*, 10(1), 184–199. https://doi.org/10.1123/JMLD.2021-0033

Luo, L. (2022). A Study on the Application of Computer-Aided Dual-Coding Theory in English Vocabulary Teaching. *Scientific Programming*, 2022(1), 5951844. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5951844

Oppici, L., Panchuk, D., Serpiello, F. R., & Farrow, D. (2018). The influence of a modified ball on transfer of passing skill in soccer. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *39*, 63-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.07.015

Østerlie, O., & Mehus, I. (2020). The impact of flipped learning on cognitive knowledge learning and intrinsic motivation in Norwegian secondary physical education. *Education Sciences*, 10(4), 110. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10040110

Richards, K. A. R., Gaudreault, K. L., & Woods, A. M. (2017). Understanding physical educators' perceptions of mattering: Validation of the Perceived Mattering Questionnaire-Physical Education. *European Physical Education Review*, *23*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X16637320

Romero-Rodríguez, J. M., Martínez-Menéndez, A., Alonso-García, S., & Victoria-Maldonado, J. J. (2024). The reality of the gamification methodology in Primary Education: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 128, 102481. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJER.2024.102481

Rudd, J. R., Pesce, C., Strafford, B. W., & Davids, K. (2020). Physical literacy - A journey of individual enrichment: An ecological dynamics rationale for enhancing performance and physical activity in all. *Frontiers in Psychology,* 11, 1904. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01904

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 61, 101860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101860