

## Inhibitory Factors of Reflective Teaching Practices: English Teachers' Perspectives

\*<sup>1</sup>Fransiskus Jemadi, <sup>2</sup>Fatmawati, <sup>3</sup>Leonardus Par, <sup>4</sup>Yulian Juita Ekalia, <sup>5</sup>Adrianus Nabung

<sup>1-5</sup>Universitas Katolik Indonesia Santu Paulus Ruteng, Indonesia

**\*Correspondence:**

ikinjemadi@gmail.com

**Submission History:**

Submitted: October 10, 2022

Revised: March 31, 2023

Accepted: April 03, 2023



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

### Abstract

The role of reflection in enhancing teacher professional development and its impact on the quality of education is widely recognized. By reflecting on their teaching practices, teachers can make more informed decisions when planning, implementing, and evaluating their teaching activities. This study aims to identify the inhibitory factors that prevent EFL teachers from engaging in reflective teaching practices. The study utilized the Inhibitors to EFL Teachers' Reflective Teaching Questionnaire (IETRTQ) to gather data from 97 English teachers in junior high schools in East Manggarai regency, East Nusa Tenggara province, Indonesia. The data were analyzed using SPSS software to assess the level of agreement among participants regarding the inhibiting elements of reflective teaching practices in their teaching activities. The findings suggest that the teachers had a moderate awareness of the inhibitory factors that hinder their reflective teaching practices, with 69 teachers (71.13%) acknowledging these barriers. The implications of these findings are discussed, highlighting the need for targeted interventions and support to help teachers overcome these inhibitory factors and enhance their professional development.

**Keywords:** EFL reflective teaching; inhibitors of reflective teaching; reflection; teaching English

### INTRODUCTION

Reflection practice is an essential component of teacher professional development. It is described as an in-depth assessment and evaluation of a class event, experience, or important occurrence preceding, during, and following the event. (Cirocki & Widodo, 2019). Practice of reflection for teachers aims to assist the teachers to become aware of the present reality (Hussein, 2006), apply theory to practice, improve classroom practice, and enable them to develop professionally by learning from classroom experience (Cirocki & Farrell, 2017) and communicate a second factor that provides the foundation for the significance of instructors' reflection practices (Delgado et al., 2020). Moreover, the practice of reflection

enables the teachers to strengthen their critical thinking abilities and examine, interpret, and learn from their own and others' teaching.

Reflection in teaching is a continuous method for reviewing teaching and learning and allowing teachers to relate their experiences. Cirocki & Widodo (2019) reveal the four components of a reflection; reflection-before-lesson, reflection-during-lesson, reflection-after-lesson. Reflection-before-lesson is a teacher's effort to imagine and structure lessons, anticipate challenges, and consider students' needs and how to integrate them into the teaching-learning process. While reflection-during-lesson refers to the teacher's efforts to reflect on all events that related to the theory used to support the teacher's actions (Cirocki & Widodo, 2019). In contrast to the two previous reflection, reflection-after-lesson is a form of summative or retrospective construct whose purpose is to help teachers describe what they observe in class, review the various roles taken while teaching and justify their decisions in class. This type of reflection also allows teachers to clarify what they would do differently if they delivered the material in the class again at a different time. The last type of reflection is reflection-beyond-lesson, it refers to the impact of reflection on society broadly and not only just in education field. In addition, with reflection activities or practices, the teacher can make all efforts when planning, implementing, and evaluating teaching activities.

However, in relation to the fundamental objective of teachers' reflection activities, Nguyen (2017) and Widodo & Ferdiansyah (2018) emphasized that in order to achieve high-quality education and teacher professional development, the practice of reflection must be given more attention, as teaching experience alone does not guarantee better teaching practice. On the basis of the previously provided definition and purpose of reflection, a reflective teacher is one who critically reviews his practice, generates ideas for how to improve performance in order to enhance student learning, and then implements those ideas. According to Jay & Johnson (2002), reflective practice consists of three essential steps: description, comparison, and evaluation. At the description stage, the teacher reflects on the learning challenges he faces. In the second stage, comparison, the teacher "considers the material for reflection through various frames," including opposing viewpoints. The instructor honed his ability to transcend his own experience, allowing him to discover meaning he hadn't yet learned from others, understands the instructional setting and its complexities at this level. In the third stage, Reflective teachers combine new and old knowledge to evaluates the solutions at the critical stage. This stage is the decision-making stage that results from a situational analysis; it will be used to design different teaching methods or instructor approaches in learning activities.

Moreover, several studies have discussed the practice of reflection as an effort to develop teacher professionalism. For example, Amalia et al., (2020) examines reflective practice in lesson planning among teacher educators in doctoral programs. The results of their research show that learning from experience in lesson planning helps teacher educators improve their teaching performance. Azizah et al., (2018) researched reflective practices carried out by students who run teaching practicums. They found that students who run English teaching practicums need more experience in order to make good and professional use of reflective practice.

However, there is still a lack of research on teacher inhibiting factors in reflective teaching practices and procedures (Moradkhani & Shirazizadeh, 2017). Therefore,

identifying the factors that prevent them from practicing reflection to improve the quality of their teaching, which is considered the ultimate goal of reflective teaching and becomes very important to do. (Moser et al., 2021; Farrell, 2014). Henceforth, this study is an attempt to specifically address the problem of inhibiting English teachers' reflective practice. The underpinning question of this research is to what extent do English teachers perceive the potential barriers to their reflective teaching?

## METHOD

The survey design was utilized as the technique of inquiry in this study. A survey was conducted to investigate teachers' perspectives of what prevents them from engaging reflective teaching practices to teach English in their various schools' classrooms. The participants were English teachers at junior high schools in East Manggarai district, East Nusa Tenggara Province. The researchers applied the criterion purposive sampling technique in determining the sample. There are several criteria used by researchers to select the participants in the study, such as participants being active English teachers and teaching English at the junior high school level.

In data collection, the researchers distributed the questionnaire to the participants and asked them to fill it out. The questionnaire, Inhibitors to EFL teachers' reflective teaching questionnaire (IETRTQ) used by the researchers is a questionnaire adopted from (Afshar & Farahani, 2018). It consists of 27 statements and a Likert scale of 1-5 was used in the questionnaire ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The distribution of the questionnaires was carried out in face-to-face meetings. The researchers made an appointment with the participants to meet. This questionnaire aims to find out the perspective of English teachers about the inhibiting factors regarding the practice of reflection in learning activities.

To analyze the data, the researchers applied SPSS software in order to determine the level of agreement of the participants' perspectives on the inhibiting factors of reflective teaching practice in their teaching activities. Descriptive statistics analysis was employed to analyze the gathered data from the questionnaire results with three classifications, namely high, moderate, and low. Table 1 reveals the criteria to determine the perceptions of the English teachers on the inhibitory factors of their reflective teaching.

Table 1. The Classification of Perception

No	Formula	Classification
1	$X < \text{Mean} - 1SD$	Low
2	$\text{Mean} - 1SD > X < \text{Mean} + 1SD$	Moderate
3	$X > \text{Mean} + 1SD$	High

Where: X= Total Score and SD= Standard Deviation

**FINDING AND DISCUSSION**

Table 2: The frequency of inhibitory factors of reflective teaching practices

NO	Classification	N	%
1	High	12	12.37
2	Moderate	69	71.13
3	Low	16	16.5
	Total	97	100

The data in Table 2 revealed that the English teachers who participated in this study showed some degree of perception of inhibitory factors of their reflective teaching practices. Among the ninety-seven (97) English teachers, there are twelve, or 12.37% of teachers perceive high inhibitory factors of reflective teaching practices, sixty-nine or 71.13% of them perceive moderate inhibitory factors of reflective teaching practices and sixteen, or 16.5% of them perceive low inhibitory factors of reflective teaching practices.

There are three variables of inhibitory factors of reflective teaching practices: lack of knowledge inhibitors, affective and emotional inhibitors, and teaching situation inhibitors. Data in Table 3 revealed the frequency of these reflective teaching practices inhibitors perceived by the English teachers of the present study.

Table 3: Frequency of IETRTQ questionnaire

No	Classification of sub-variables	N= 97		
		Low	Moderate	High
<b>Lack of knowledge inhibitors</b>				
1	Some teachers are not familiar with using journals and diaries and other types of self-reflection	18%	51%	31%
2	Some teachers are not theoretically strong enough for having reflection on their practices	18%	57%	25%
3	Some teachers are not familiar with the beneficial outcomes of reflective teaching	18%	52%	30%
4	Some teachers don't believe in reflection due to their strong belief in traditional ways of language teaching	20%	50%	30%
5	Novice teachers usually don't have any idea and information about reflection and its advantages	26%	54%	18%
6	There are no in-service training courses on language learning and teaching developments including 'reflective teaching' for language teachers	13%	50%	37%
7	Some teachers have not been reflectively trained	19%	47%	34%
8	Some teachers don't take systematic teacher training courses (like DELTA and CELTA)	17%	53%	30%
9	Some teachers don't perceive self-reflection as an opportunity for improvement of their teaching practice	24%	44%	32%
<b>Affective and Emotional Inhibitors</b>				
10	Some teachers suffer from the burnout	19%	58%	23%

11	Some teachers lack motivation	30%	43%	27%
12	Some teachers lack sense of responsibility	30%	50%	20%
13	Some teachers have students who don't show interest for learning, which makes them demotivated for improving their teaching practices	19%	37%	44%
14	Some teachers believe that the students are not active and eager; and the teacher is wasting his/her time to reflect on teaching	16%	49%	35%
15	Some teachers are too confident about how to teach a foreign language. They think they know everything	10%	56%	34%
16	Students' unwillingness to learn or participate in classroom activities may demotivate teachers for having reflection	16%	42%	42%
17	Some teachers are lazy for thinking and acting (teaching) reflectively	45%	40%	15%
18	The personality type of a teacher determines the extent to which he/she thinks reflectively. Some teachers are not used to think routinely	18%	59%	23%
<b>Teaching Situation Inhibitors</b>				
19	In some language teaching institute, there is no 'Reflection Session' for teachers about classroom achievements and failures	25%	57%	18%
20	There is no mutual understanding between managers and teachers about how to teach a foreign language	22%	49%	29%
21	Teachers tend to be creative while managers tend to be strict about how to teach. Teachers need to obey the managers' outline	15%	43%	42%
22	Huge load of materials to be covered in the syllabus make teachers always think about covering the materials rather than reflecting on their teaching	9%	46%	45%
23	The rules and regulations of some institute are too strict to let teachers have freedom of action (e.g., to think and act reflectively)	7%	41%	52%
24	Teacher is a machine programmed by the institute authorities and is not allowed to act based on his/her Reflections	23%	48%	29%
25	Low salary demotivates some teachers to think reflectively. Consequently, they don't care about the job anymore	21%	49%	30%
26	Language teachers suffer from job insecurity which demotivates them from thinking and teaching reflectively	22%	49%	29%
27	Most teachers are not satisfied with their wage. They don't have the motivation to think more about improving themselves and their teaching	24%	46%	30%

Data in Table 3 reveals that EFL teachers may not reflect on their language teaching because of some inhibitors. They perceived some degrees of reflective practice due to lack of knowledge, affective and emotional, and teaching situation inhibitors that contribute to not reflecting on their language teaching.

Reflection has been acknowledged as a crucial aspect of teachers' professional development. However, the findings of the present study revealed that English teachers did not practice reflection for their teaching due to their inadequate knowledge of reflective teaching. This finding seems to be in line with a study conducted by Yanuarti & Treagust (2016). They asserted that many experienced teachers are unaware that they are supposed to be aware of reflective teaching practices. The teachers' use of reflection was mostly limited to their reflection on students' work in lessons, not on their teaching practice. In other words, teachers did not recognize reflective teaching due to their lack of knowledge of it. In addition, Derinalp, (2022) suggests that in order for practitioners to gain a comprehensive understanding of the term, teacher education and training programs are encouraged to include a reflective practice module. Her study reveals that the EFL teachers understood reflective practice as a strategy for problem solving, wherein activities such as an activity for sharing knowledge, a flashback process for improving teaching practices, and a tool for professional development.

Another interesting finding of this study is the affective and emotional inhibitors and teaching situation inhibitors share the same level of agreement. The English teachers perceive that both aforementioned inhibitors contribute 14% to English teachers' reflective teaching practice. In other words, the English teachers did not make a reflection on their teaching practice due to those two inhibitors. This result might indicate that when it comes to using reflection as a critical lens to improve their professionalism, EFL teachers rely much on their routine teaching experience, and peer sharing and observations are generally preferred by teachers over journaling or even organized notes, which are viewed as time-consuming and disruptive to a busy schedule (Kharlay et al., 2022). Moreover, Cole (1997) asserts that working conditions for teachers have led to emotions and psychological conditions that prevent professional development and reflective practice. The internal structures of anxiety, fear, loneliness, meaninglessness, helplessness, and hostility created as a result of these imposed structures include working conditions, the external structures imposed by schools and school systems, the profession, the government, and the general public.

Taking into account the findings of the study, it can be said that language teachers who do not reflect critically on their teaching practice will not have better-informed practice, practical knowledge, and new methods of understanding. Therefore, teachers who fail to do so tend to "teach as they were taught" and duplicate bad teaching practices (Braun Jr & Crumpler, 2004). On the other hand, it has been acknowledged that reflection is regarded as a quality that distinguishes successful language teachers and learners (Akbari, 2007; Kember et al., 2000), as well as a factor that contributes to the efficiency of language instruction and acquisition (Gelder, 2005; Kember et al., 2000 and Willingham, 2008).

The possible reasons for the findings of the present study as such might be due to the education system in Indonesia. It is self-evident that there is no assistance for the teachers,



such as guidelines or a handbook for reflective practice to be put into flesh during the teaching-learning process. Neither the Ministry of National Education nor the pre-service teacher curriculum at universities has taken into consideration the importance of reflective practice and be it a compulsory practice for teachers or prospective teachers in order to improve their teaching practice. It is because reflection aids the teacher to reflect on his daily learning activities (Damon, 1992) and helps language learners gain self-understanding and self-knowledge (Fendler, 2003). Language learners can introspect, think, discover, and solve a problem by using reflective thinking (Phan, 2009). Critically reflecting on teaching practice leads to more informed practice, practical knowledge, and new ways of knowing and articulating knowledge (Crandall, 2000). Non-reflective teachers will repeat ineffective teaching strategies (Braun Jr & Crumpler, 2004). Thus, reflection is considered a characteristic of successful language teachers and learners (Akbari, 2007) and a contributor to effective language teaching and learning (Gelder, 2005; Kember et al., 2000; Willingham, 2008).

Considering its importance to language teaching and learning, reflection can benefit English teachers and students. They gain a greater awareness of teaching and learning, a deeper understanding of the variables associated with teaching and learning, and spark positive change in their practice (Liou, 2000). In addition, teachers can also benefit from reflecting on their own areas of development and teaching by becoming more aware of their own strengths (Kennedy-Clark et al., 2018). According to the findings of a study that was carried out by Loan, (2019), reflective teaching enables teachers to uncover the areas of their teaching that need improvement and also helps them understand the strengths and flaws of their own teaching. A suggestion from Markkanen et al., (2020) might be very useful for English teachers to assist them to improve their reflective practices, especially the ones who are constantly required to meet the diverse needs of students while dealing with difficult situations involving students. They suggest that using frameworks like Gibbs' reflective cycle (description, feelings, evaluation, analysis, conclusion and action plan) may help concentrate reflective learning gained from trying experiences.

## **CONCLUSION**

Gaining awareness of reflective teaching and its contribution to would not only desired, but a must when it comes to teachers' professional development and meets the students' needs. The findings of the present study revealed English teachers' viewpoints on reflective teaching inhibitors. Their teaching reflection was lessened due to their inadequate knowledge about it. Therefore, the training program is perhaps the most important addition of the study to the field. Those who plan to build and implement comparable training programs in their own contexts should take note of the following points. First, reflective teaching practice should be introduced early in both pre-service teacher education and in-service teacher professional development. By providing instructors with an in-depth understanding, it is anticipated that they would be able to engage in self-reflection and enhance their teaching abilities, so contributing to their ongoing professional growth. Second, it is essential to spend time facilitating the development of reflective teaching. This period should be freed from the uncertainties of internships, which place students in a stressful condition of survival, and devoted solely to reflective teaching.

Moreover, this study focused on teachers' perspectives on reflective teaching inhibitors by using a survey method. Further studies on strategies of reflective teaching applied by non-English teachers are required in order to contribute a more thorough and comprehensive knowledge of reflective teaching practice.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researchers would like to extend their gratitude to Universitas Katolik Indonesia Santu Paulus Ruteng for the funding support of this study.

## REFERENCES

- Akbari, R. (2007). Reflections on reflection: A critical appraisal of reflective practices in L2 teacher education. *System*, 35(2), 192–207.
- Amalia, L. L., Widiati, U., Basthomi, Y., & Cahyono, B. Y. (2020). Reflective practice on lesson planning among EFL teacher educators. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(1), 153–160.
- Azizah, U. A., Nurkamto, J., & Drajadi, N. A. (2018). Reflective practice: The experience of pre-service EFL teachers in teaching English. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 14(3), 133–144.
- Braun Jr, J. A., & Crumpler, T. P. (2004). The social memoir: An analysis of developing reflective ability in a pre-service methods course. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 20(1), 59–75.
- Cirocki, A., & Farrell, T. S. C. (2017). *Reflective practice in the ELT classroom*. LinguaBooks.
- Cirocki, A., & Widodo, H. P. (2019). Reflective practice in English language teaching in Indonesia: Shared practices from two teacher educators. *Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research*, 7(3 (Special Issue)), 15–35.
- Cole, A. L. (1997). Impediments to reflective practice: Toward a new agenda for research on teaching. *Teachers and Teaching*, 3(1), 7–27.
- Crandall, J. J. (2000). Language teacher education. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 20, 34–55.
- Damon, S. (1992). Educating the reflective practitioner. Towards a new design for teaching and learning in the professions. *Quality in Health Care*, 1(1), 81.
- Delgado, L. D. F., Moreno, I. O., & Ballesteros, A. C. V. (2020). The impact of role modeling on the professional identity of pre-service teachers. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(1), 143–152.
- Derinalp, P. (2022). Defining reflective practice from the Indonesian novice EFL teachers' perspective. *Reflective Practice*, 1–12.
- Farrell, T. S. C. (2014). *Promoting teacher reflection in second language education: A framework for TESOL professionals*. Routledge.
- Fendler, L. (2003). Teacher reflection in a hall of mirrors: Historical influences and political reverberations. *Educational Researcher*, 32(3), 16–25.
- Gelder, T. Van. (2005). Teaching critical thinking: Some lessons from cognitive science. *College Teaching*, 53(1), 41–48.
- Hussein, J. W. (2006). Experience-based reflections on the potential for critical practitioner inquiry to transform teacher education in Africa. *Journal of Transformative Education*,



- 4(4), 362–384.
- Jay, J. K., & Johnson, K. L. (2002). Capturing complexity: A typology of reflective practice for teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 18(1), 73–85.
- Kember, D., Leung, D. Y. P., Jones, A., Loke, A. Y., McKay, J., Sinclair, K., Tse, H., Webb, C., Yuet Wong, F. K., & Wong, M. (2000). Development of a questionnaire to measure the level of reflective thinking. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 25(4), 381–395.
- Kennedy-Clark, S., Eddles-Hirsch, K., Francis, T., Cummins, G., Ferantino, L., Tichelaar, M., & Ruz, L. (2018). Developing pre-service teacher professional capabilities through action research. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 43(9), 39–58.
- Kharlay, O., Wei, W., & Philips, J. (2022). How do I teach? Exploring knowledge of reflective practice among in-service EFL teachers in Ukraine. *Teachers and Teaching*, 28(2), 188–205.
- Liou, H. C. (2000). Reflective practice in English teacher education: Research and pedagogical implications. *Selected Papers from the Ninth International Symposium on English Teaching*, 470–480.
- Loan, N. T. T. (2019). Reflective teaching in an EFL writing instruction course for Thai pre-service teachers. *Journal of Asia TEFL*, 16(2), 561.
- Markkanen, P., Välimäki, M., Anttila, M., & Kuuskorpi, M. (2020). A reflective cycle: Understanding challenging situations in a school setting. *Educational Research*, 62(1), 46–62.
- Moradkhani, S., & Shirazizadeh, M. (2017). Context-based variations in EFL teachers' reflection: The case of public schools versus private institutes in Iran. *Reflective Practice*, 18(2), 206–218.
- Moser, K. M., Wei, T., & Brenner, D. (2021). Remote teaching during COVID-19: Implications from a national survey of language educators. *System*, 97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102431>
- Nguyen, C. D. (2017). Connections between learning and teaching: EFL teachers' reflective practice. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 12(3), 237–255.
- Phan, H. P. (2009). Reflective thinking, effort, persistence, disorganization, and academic performance: A mediational approach. *Electronic Journal of Research in Education Psychology*, 7(19), 927–952.
- Soodmand Afshar, H., & Farahani, M. (2018). Inhibitors to EFL teachers' reflective teaching and EFL learners' reflective thinking and the role of teaching experience and academic degree in reflection perception. *Reflective Practice*, 19(1), 46–67.
- Widodo, H. P., & Ferdiansyah, S. (2018). Engaging student teachers in video-mediated self-reflection in teaching practica. In *Routledge International Handbook of Schools and Schooling in Asia* (pp. 922–934). Routledge.
- Willingham, D. T. (2008). Critical thinking: Why is it so hard to teach? *Arts Education Policy Review*, 109(4), 21–32.
- Yanuarti, E., & Treagust, D. F. (2016). Reflective teaching practice (teachers' perspectives in an Indonesian context). *1st UPI International Conference on Sociology Education*, 280–284.